

It has been a disappointment to me through the years that hardly anybody caught that vision that I've had. How much I've been able to do with it I don't know. But my desire was an impartial, careful, analytical study of the OT, not going to it with pre-conceived ideas, but seeing exactly what was there and finding the answer to so many of the problems that are vital in the Christian world. I don't say you get them from the OT alone, but the NT problems root back into the OT to so large an extent.

It was while I was at Princeton I decided I would like to concentrate on the OT with my own study. I feel as if I've laid a foundation. I wish there were more others trying to build on it. Because I certainly did not get as far into it as I would like to have.

Speaking of Princeton there, yes.

Neher: In my experience there under your teaching, you have given very helpful ~~points~~ material on specific points of interpretation, How would you characterize the broad scope of what you say, the problems of the NT root back into the OT. What besides the specific insights into verses and translations of specific passages, what do you consider to be most central to this foundation that we should be studying and working on?

Well, I feel you have to get the insights of verses in context before you have a basis on which to build. I feel that. A lot needs to be drawn together that I've never gotten far enough to do. I've taught prophetic books year after year hoping that the method I was giving others would take up and do a great deal more study in the prophets. But most have -- Douglas Young worked with me a lot, and he was very enthused about my prophecy course, etc. I was hoping he would go on in it. He has gone on into other activity which is very valuable -- the Israel Institute and all that. Tremendously valuable. But as far as further careful study this way, it just did not catch his enthusiasm.