

Or at a graduate school?

AAM: I believe that question has to be answered entirely in relation to the previous life of the people involved. That is, the people who have been accustomed to being strongly regimented continue easily to be. It's natural human instinct to look for a leader and follow him. But people who have not been regimented, who have been allowed a great amount of freedom, find any regimentation whatever very difficult to take. As far as social life at Occidental was concerned, I didn't mean to say -- no, that there were strict rules in the sense that things were regimented. Simply that the general attitude that Christians had at the turn of the century was that dancing was wrong, that smoking and the use of alcohol were not desirable. There is much freedom today that wasn't then. It was not an institution where they had strict rules-- you must do this, you must do that. It was just understood that those things weren't supposed to be. There was developing this looseness, and in some of the fraternities I ~~think~~ think the life was rather loose in a way but they never for instance when I was there would have a dance under --- openly under the ^{patronage} ~~patronage~~ of one of the fraternities, or of the school itself. Though many of them would off the campus. But in the average small college today, a person who tries to hold to rather strict Christian ideals of life, finds it difficult to find companionship because there are so many things that are just done by everybody. Most that he just cannot participate in. People don't understand him. He's apt to be sort of left out. of things. There was none of that there at that time. There was developing considerable looseness. No I didn't -- they == the girls all had their own dormitory, or their own sororities. They each had a house mother and they had to keep tract of when they came in. If they stayed out late too often, they probably