

religion and social service. There were two fellows whom I think could have been real effective servants of the Lord if they had not had the training they had. But the effect of it was so different. In the one case just a gradual change and then the other he resisted, resisted, resisted and you'd never dream, and then finally it took over and he just flopped on the other side.

Interestingly, in a case similar to that, with an entirely different aspect though occurs to me. There was a fellow who went to Yale U. and he was known there as a conservative. Somebody gave money for a ~~doctorate~~ Dr. Machen to give a series of lectures at Yale U. in order to try to reach the students. There was no student movement ~~there~~ there at all at that time. All the student movements have come up in subsequent years. Dr. Machen went up there to give the lecture and only one person came. It was this fellow. I don't think he continued going. But anyway this fellow then came to Westminster seminary, and was in Dr. VanTill's class. He was convinced that Dr. VanTill's idea that you have to presuppose Christianity ~~and~~ was absolutely wrong. He argued with him and of course this was a very bright fellow. But Dr. VanTill had studied philosophy 5 times, maybe 12 times as much as he had, and he would argue with him in class, and R. VanTil would lead him on and get him in a corner just like the fellow in geology, you know. He ~~was~~ told me once, VanTill was wrong; no question of it, he's wrong. But after some months, he just flopped over, and he decided that Dr. Vantil was 100% right. He graduated from Westminster, went back to Yale and got a doctor's degree. I have the impression he also got one at Harvard. I'm not sure whether that is wright or not. But he took Dr. VanTil's theory and he carried it to ~~what~~ what I would say is its logical