

I had Bible ~~for~~ from him, I think, one semester, and from this philosopher, I mentioned, Wyman, one semester. There was a big difference between the two with Evans. In an exam I would say, The professor has said, and then I would give his view exactly, but by saying the professor has said, I would disassociate myself from saying what I thought about it. While with Wyman, I would say, The professor has said and then I would give what he said, and then I'd say, but it seems this way to me. And I'd give what I thought. And Wyman like that. He wanted you to think. And the students used to say, Wyman doesn't care what you think; he's not interested in what your ideas are, he's just interested in teaching you to think! But you noticed that at the end of the course 9/10th of those who studied much from Wyman came out with ideas that were a carbon copy of his. But he was very very clever. in getting his ideas across.

Neher: He was a conservative alright?

AAM: Oh, no. Wyman was a man who came from a marvelous conservative background. With wonderful fine Christian family. I would not say that he believed any Christian idea. I would not say so. After he left there he became professor at the U. of Chicago. One time at the U. of Chicago, I was preparing a course on Proverbs which I was going to give at the seminary the next year, and I was working at the Oriental Institute in Archaeology and I went over to the divinity school and I told them I was working at the Oriental Institute which was 3 blocks away, and I'd like to take a couple of books out. One day I saw a notice up which said, The divinity school library will be closed starting next week; it will be closed for the rest of the summer; no books can be taken out for the rest of the summer; books that are already