1-8-79 page 3

and give courses at the University. Though most of the men there had no use for him because they considered him so conservative, his standing was sp great in the archaeological field, but he was not real conservative at that. He was a man who loved to show anything he found that supported the Bible. But the great bulk of his views were affected by his study at Johns Hopkins early in this century.

When I was at Princeton, I thought I'd mention that the first year I was there, there was a young fellow who arrived from Kansas or somewhere out there who had come === had quite an interesting story of how he'd managed to get there. He was so s thrilled at getting the training there, and I took for granted he was a greal Christian. I imagine he was. They assigned him a room with a senior as roomate who was a brilliant minded fellow but very much against what he considered the bigotry of the fundamentalists. And this nice young fellow, I didn't hardly see him through the months -- we did not happen to run on to each other for about 6 months, then once I came across him and he was just fulled with hatred for fundamentalism. He was affected by his roommate because at that time we had a lot of evangelical students there. We had Wilson, Machen, Vos, Armstrong, and Hodge making a strong defense of true Biblical Christianity.

Incidentally, if anything comes up in any class that you referred last time to, if what I had said fit in with what you had in church government, if anything comes up in any class, of course you are free to express any idea that I have expressed, but I'd rather you didn't quote me. If you don't say where you got it you're more apt to get a free reaction to it. I remember once when I was at Occidental, a professor of history expressed a certain view about immigration. Then I was in a class in a different course, and the subject of immigration came up, and I said, What would you think of such and such? And I have gave the idea this man had expressed