

on some particular point that they have given in to liberalism perhaps without realizing it. But they are so irritated by that that they seem to have a bias against fundamentalism much greater than their actual opposition to modernism, even though I would say as between ultra-fundamentalist and ultra-liberal they would not be more than 1/10th of the way, yet they have received that bias. Very sad. Unfortunately situation.

They were telling in the meeting we had down in -- for promoting the NIV. Dr. Goddard who was Dean of Gordon for many many years. Dr. Goddard told in the meeting one day how Dr. Harris had the devotions ~~and~~ in one of their meetings, and Dr. Harris said, he said Dr. Harris was almost weeping as he remarked that how wonderful it was they had this group of scholars that truly believed the Bible -- to make this translation-- he said, 20 or 30 years ago we could not have gotten a group together who had the scholarly training this group has, that believes the Bible. So we have had a great step forward. But still there is that different bits of unbelief here and there among them.

One man, I'm not sure who ~~figis~~ man is because I didn't see the criticism that Moody got from somebody, but I believe they would only go to people they thought were real Christians^I and have a pretty good guess that this man is a professor in a very fine Christian institution, and he criticized what I said about Isaiah said about the ~~being/the~~ Gospel reaching as far as China. He said, China was not within the world of the OT! Well, that's going pretty far. I may be wrong in saying who it was, but at least it was someone that Moody considered strongly enough for true Christianity that they would send a book to for judgment.

It did not reflect faith in a great man rather than faith in