1-4-79 page 6

your book, they may try to promote it. Then again they may not. I think the publishers figure if they publish 100 books and if they lose money on 10 of them, and if 80 they break even, there is is maybe 10? they will get back 10 times their money and they are satisfied. So if you just break even, it means there are very few who hear about your book! It's a tough thing so to write a book on that that would sell, unless womebody would really promote it, that would be a question whether it would be worth the time.

Neher: In your reading have you found anyone better than Allis who has actually been published on that subject?

I don't know. I haven't read Allis' stuff frankly. I have not read it. I should not say that. I have glanced over his stuff on prophecy which I think has a lot of illogical junk in it. In general I would say Allis is a great man for detail, but he does not see the woods for the trees! His book on the Pentateuch—The Five Books of Moses— is a prime example of what I mean about Dr. Wilson's teaching. If you already are thoroughly informed about the criticism, I think you would find answers wonderfully given with exact statistics and figures on the prime arguments. But if you don't already know what the criticism is, I don't think most of it would mean must to us.

One thing that hurt his book was that Dr. Albright wrote a very critical attack on it. He ended it up by saying, If he really thinks that the Wellhausen theory is so important why doesn't he do a better job, why doesn't he work at it? Which was nonsense. Allis worked awfully hard. He critisized himstrongly for using the translateration of Young's Concordance. What difference what transliteration you use? Allis used that because he figured the average minister would understand that better than a really scientific