1-4-79 page 3

as the Welhausen theory, etc.? And so they don't pay much attention to it. While the average person who has studied in a modernist seminery or university today thinks, it's all proven, we have to be scholarly, everybody newer knows it. So the two never meet! Each thinks the other is just nonsense.

I used to take 3 or 4 days giving the evidence the critics give for the two Isaiahs. One fellow once said to my wife, We know Dr. MacRae doesn't believe in two Isaiah's, but we're praying he won't die over the week-end before we haar the answers1 But I always felt it is necessary to know what you are dealing with and to try to present the other theories very carefully and fully/they don't know it, and then show the answer! But he didn't do that. The result was that I don't think as a teacher or as a writer he had anything like the influence he should have had. One fellow who graduated just before I entered said to me, Dr. Wilson can't writer up his material in w way that will be effective." He wrote a number of books, but he said, Dr. Allis can do it. After he's gon@ Dr. Allis will do it. Well, Dr. Allis, I don't know whether he was a better writer than Dr. Wilson or not, I could not say, but Dr. Allis got all tied up with little tiny details. He would make a big argument over some little detail that didn't matter. He hated premillennialism and he'd spend half is hourse in Prophets attacking premillennialism, instead of giving the evidence against the critical theory on which he was good and giving the good solid points of interpretation. So whatever of Wilson's stuff this fiend of mine hoped Allis would get out, that was too bad.