

Dr. Gleuck, the excavator, who was President of the school. He said, Dr. Gleuck just threw his heart into his chapel messages. He said, Dr. Gleuck would tell about ~~an~~ Abraham leaving home, and going away into a far country to do what ~~he~~ he thought God wanted him to do, etc. He said one of the Professors there who happened to be sitting next to him in the chapel services, turned to him and said, Isn't it amazing how Dr. Gleuck can just weep over the experiences of a man that he doesn't even believe existed!

Your conservatives today, we have a body of conservative scholars, a great many of whom were at Chicago at that recent meeting. We have a body of conservative scholars and new conservative schools founded in the last 50 years, a great many, but the ones that have standing and have doctors degrees, they have practically all taken training under liberals, and most of them you will find points in their thinking that have been affected.

Someone was saying it is an interesting thing that today the conservatives as a whole utterly repudiate the Wellhausen theory, and repudiate the division of the Pentateuch and the OT theory, but your conservative NT scholars today, most of them, use the language of the source division people and talk about ~~the~~ how there is originally Mark and there is Q --- they have been so indoctrinated in that direction that even though they would still stand for the Bible as God's word and some of them for inerrancy they still use that terminology and are affected by that, ~~but~~ utterly un-Christian and unfounded approach-- the source approach, which is given up in literary study. They say, We must approach the Bible the way we do any other book. The theologians say. But their approaching the Bible the way other books were approached 150 years ago. Today the literary scholars have ~~been~~ given it up completely because they see it leads nowhere except that--- I looked