12-20-78 page No.13

are a few of the other type in both cases, but it's an exception.
So it's really pretty hard to compare on that issue.

I thought of a number of things that might be of interest alone the lines we were speaking of. (It's about 30 minutes alreddy) It brought home to me very clearly how people can be easily swayed. Harry Emerson Fosdick who wrote === he was a brilliant man. His book on his trip to Palestine, a brief book, was one of the best books pictures of Palestine at that time I have ever seen. But it's hardly known. His pther books to got much more attention. From that book which I found very helpful when I went there -- you could see the brillainace of the man, but when I was in college, our church was a very cound church and had a very godly Presbyterian minister. in it. My family were very good friends with John Hunter who was a teacher in the Bible Institute of Los Angeles. A very fine, mearnest Christian man. Many people were reading Fosdick's book, The Manhood of the Master, which had a few vv. from the Gospels and then a discussion written about this quality of Christ and that quality of Christ. I started to go through it for devotions every day. It had a week on this and a week on that. I guess there were 12 weeks. One time I was talking with Mr. Hunder and I said you know thisbook has got fine things about the human characteristics of Christ, but I haven't noticed any evidence of His deity in it, of His being God. Well, he's just dealing with that aspect of his character in the book, you see. The tendency was to give everybody the benefit of the doubt. But then, of course, when Fosdick was asked to supply in a big Presbyterian Church in N.Y. and he preached the sermon: Will the Fundamentalists Win? he tore into them. And that had a lot to do with bringing things to the fore. It was in == the conservatives made a big effort to get the majority in the