

get them all converted, that's the very anthesis of the Calvinistic idea that God saves ~~who~~ ^{who} he chooses! It's introducing an evolutionary idea that has no Scriptural warrant. Postmillennialism is coming back now. Ian Murray and a lot of others. Murray has written a book, the Puritan Hope. We know that God is going to convert the whole world. How do we know it? Can he do it if he chooses. But he has not promised it in the Scripture, so how do we know? It's substituting human ideas for God's Word.

Neher: I've heard it claimed that that's the only consistent Calvinistic view.

AAM: I would say it's an utterly unCalvinistic view. How does it fit with Calvinism? That's about as illogical a statement as you can get isn't it? Who says it's the only consistent Calvinistic view?

Neher: I think it's a statement I read in a Reformed Baptist paper. It was a reference to those who claim they are Calvinists and yet who deny -- who -- who claim they are Calvinists and yet hold to premillennialism.

AAM: Of all the illogical things you ever saw. What in the Five Points has anything to do with premillennialism one way or the other? If you take the Five Points to the degree they carry them, it utterly contradicts the philosophy in back of postmillennialism. The Lord might have chosen to convert everybody in the second Christian generation and to have very few in the last if it is purely a matter of His unconditional choice, or unconditional election conditioned on the thing that they have to gradually increase until they cover the world!

~~As low as we spoke are you sure it got what we said?~~

~~Neher: Yes, I made sure of that~~