12-14-78 page 29

one of these points you were already on the skids and headed for complete unbelief. I think such an attitude is an attitude which in the end does tremendous harm to Christian wwwtness. Because there is a difference in importance on different matters. The Bible is not a book to enable us to understand all mysteries of the universe. The human mind is not necessarily capable of seeing how all the different things fit together in God's plan. There are elements in it we simply don't know.

It's like in any science, there are new elements we discover. that explain how things work together which previously we could see no sense to whatever. We do not understand all of God's universe. He has not put his here to understand it all. He has put us here to do a work for Him. He wants us to find out what that work is and to see what the points are that are vital to that work. And to stand on whatever is clearly taught in Scripture, but particularly stand on that which is vital to that work. When I find, for instance, that in the last century all the great missionary leaders, practically all the great evangelists have been premillennialists, at that does not prove premissenniamism is true, but I think it gives a reason for giving it very serious consideration. It's a reason for not considering a it a wicked or harmful thing. It is necessary to see what Scripture teaches on it. To my mind premillennialism pre se is not of tremendous importance. God knows what he is going to bring in the future. He'll bring what he wants. We can't help it one way or the other. So I don't think it per se is of tremendous importance, but I believe it is so clearly taught in S_ripture that in order to get rid of it you have to introduce methods of interpretation which could just as well get rid of the bodily resurrection of Christ. So I think as a matter of methodology premillennialism is very important. In this article, he says I left Westminster and I was