

Neher: You've had this emphasis for quite a while as far as the minor things and the major things are

AAM: That's what I've always had

Neher: On the committee do you think that had anything to do with them calling you to help. In other words, ---

AAM: No. You see Oxford Press published the Scofield Bible for many years, like they had a gold mine in it. They saw that in another 10 years the copyright would run out. They thought it would be a good thing to get out a new edition that would have a new copyright. They asked Schyler English to get a committee together and I suppose he submitted to them who he was to get, but I think it was principally his idea. It so happened that he had had a couple of articles in OUR HOPE magazine, he'd had one argument in there which used a couple of arguments for verbal inspiration which were patently false, and I wrote him a letter about it. And he wrote back and thanked me for it, for pointing it out. But he said in extenuation of my error I would point out that the same error is given by Hodge, Warfield and he named 6 or 7 of them others who had used this same argument which they must have had sense enough if they'd stop to think had nothing to it! But they all used it. A lot of these people. They don't think about it. He was very <sup>grateful</sup> gracious and then he asked me, Would you -- he was getting out the Pilgrim Bible -- and he asked, Would you mind answering a couple of questions about it? He sent two or three questions, and I write him rather lengthy explanations of particular evidence I could get on these questions. They weren't anything of any great importance in connection with his notes. Then he said, Would you be willing that I should mention you as a consulting editor of the Pilgrim Bible. I said O.K. So, when it comes out it said, Contributing and consulting editors and it named about 30 people of whom I wasn't one who was named.